

# Comp 254, Image Processing and Analysis

## Spring 2002, Prof. Guido Gerig

### Solutions to Assignment 1: Statistical Pattern Recognition

Required Readings: Duda and Hart, Chapter 2

#### I. Theoretical Problems

##### Problem 1: Normal Density Functions

$$p(x|\omega_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_i}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$
$$P(\omega_1) = P(\omega_2) = \frac{1}{2}$$

The probability of error is the probability that there exists another category than the one that is decided for:

$$P(\text{error}|x) = \begin{cases} P(\omega_1|x) & \text{and decision for } \omega_2 \\ P(\omega_2|x) & \text{and decision for } \omega_1 \end{cases}$$

where  $P(\omega_i|x)$  is obtained using Bayes' rule. A decision with minimum loss is obtained using the symmetric 0-1 loss function, i.e. a decision for class  $\omega_i$  if  $P(\omega_i|x) \geq P(\omega_j|x)$ .

Let's look at the case  $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ . This means with given  $p(x|\omega_i)$  and  $P(\omega_i)$  that we decide for  $\omega_1$  if  $x \leq a' = \frac{(\mu_1 + \mu_2)}{2}$  and for  $\omega_2$  otherwise. The probability of error thus becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} P_e &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(\text{error}, x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(\text{error}|x) p(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{a'} P(\omega_2|x) p(x) dx + \int_{a'}^{\infty} P(\omega_1|x) p(x) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{a'}^{\infty} P(\omega_1|x) p(x) dx && \text{(symmetry)} \\ &= 2 \int_{a'}^{\infty} p(x|\omega_1) P(\omega_1) dx && \text{(Bayes)} \\ &= \int_{a'}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma}\right)^2} dx \end{aligned}$$

The integral can't be solved using elementary calculation. The sought limit, however, can be estimated. We substitute  $t = \frac{x-\mu_1}{\sigma}$ ,  $dx = \sigma dt$  and obtain:

$$a = \frac{\mu_2 - \mu_1}{2\sigma} \quad \text{(new limit)}$$
$$P_e = \int_a^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}a} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \text{ if } a \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} P_e = 0$ .

## Problem 2: Decision with minimum risk

Assumptions:

- $c$  categories  $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_c\}$
- $c + 1$  decisions  $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{c+1}\}$ . Decision  $\alpha_i$  ( $i \leq c$ ) means it is assigned to the category  $\omega_i$ ; decision  $\alpha_{c+1}$  means rejection.
- Cost matrix:

$$\Lambda = \begin{array}{c|cccc} & \omega_1 & \omega_2 & \dots & \omega_c \\ \hline \alpha_1 & 0 & \lambda_s & \dots & \lambda_s \\ \alpha_2 & \lambda_s & 0 & \dots & \lambda_s \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \alpha_c & \lambda_s & \lambda_s & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_{c+1} & \lambda_r & \lambda_r & \dots & \lambda_r \end{array}$$

(a) conditional risk for decisions  $\alpha_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha_i|x) &= \sum_{j=1}^c \lambda(\alpha_i|\omega_j)P(\omega_j|x), \quad (\forall i \leq c) \\ &= \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^c \lambda_s P(\omega_j|x) = \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \\ R(\alpha_{c+1}|x) &= \lambda_r \end{aligned}$$

With the assumption

$$P(\omega_i|x) \geq P(\omega_j|x), \quad (\forall j \leq c)$$

it follows that

$$\lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \leq \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_j|x))$$

and thus

$$R(\alpha_i|x) \leq R(\alpha_j|x) \quad (\forall j \leq c).$$

It also follows from the minimum risk decision  $\text{Min}[R(\alpha_i|x), \lambda_r]$  that  $P(\omega_i|x) \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_s}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha_i|x) &= \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \\ &\leq \lambda_s(1 - (1 - \frac{\lambda_r}{\lambda_s})) \\ &\leq \lambda_r = R(\alpha_{c+1}|x) \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

(b) If  $\lambda_r = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha_i|x) &= \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \geq 0 \\ \text{Min}[R(\alpha_i|x), \lambda_r] &= \lambda_r = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we always reject (rejection is cheaper) except in the case where  $P(\alpha_i|x) = 1$ .

(c) With  $\lambda_r > \lambda_s$  we get:

$$R(\alpha_i|x) = \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \leq \lambda_s < \lambda_r.$$

In this case no rejection occurs. We always decide for one of the categories.

**d) Show that the following discriminant functions are optimal**

$$g_i(x) = \begin{cases} p(x|w_i)P(w_i) & i = 1, \dots, c \\ \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_r}{\lambda_s} \sum_{j=1}^c p(x|w_j)P(w_j) & i = c+1 \end{cases}$$

see solution 2a:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\alpha_i|x) &= \lambda_s(1 - P(\omega_i|x)) \\ R(\alpha_{c+1}|x) &= \lambda_r \end{aligned}$$

then, replace  $P(\omega_i|x)$  by  $p(x|w_i)P(w_i)/p(x)$  using the Bayes rule. Multiplying both equations by  $p(x)$  you get (replacing risk  $R$  by monotonic functions  $G = f(R)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} G^1(\alpha_i|x) &= \lambda_s p(x) - \lambda_s p(x|w_i)P(w_i) \\ G^1(\alpha_{c+1}|x) &= \lambda_r p(x) \end{aligned}$$

subtracting  $\lambda_s p(x)$  from both equations and dividing by  $\lambda_s$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} G^2(\alpha_i|x) &= -p(x|w_i)P(w_i) \\ G^2(\alpha_{c+1}|x) &= -\left(\frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_r}{\lambda_s}\right)p(x) \end{aligned}$$

Switching the sign, these functions can be used as discriminant functions for a minimum risk decision using the MAX decision rule.

**e) Sketch discriminant functions and the decision regions**

Use the results obtained in a) to d) to sketch the functions.