V	Veight and S	See
	Antony Unwin Augsburg University	,
Weight and See	Antony Unwin	UNC 13th November 2009







Weighted graphics in R?



- Counts of "weight", "wtd"
 Paul Murrell's book
 - Hadley Wickham's Ph D
- Google within R
 - "weighted histogram"
 - "weights"
 - "weighted"

Weight and See

Antony Unwin UNC 13th November 2009

0

1

1

2350

4860



- Multiple independent inviduals have the same values
- Typically multivariate categorical data
- e.g., the Berkeley discrimination dataset either 4526 cases (Gender, Admit, Dept) or 24 combinations G(2) x A(2) x D(6)

$$N_j$$
 where $j = 1, \dots, M$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{j} N_j = N_j$

Simple random samples are taken from each group of sizes

$$n_j$$
 where $j = 1, \dots, M$ and $\sum_{j=1}^M n_j = n.$

Each person i sampled from group j is checked to see if they are ill or healthy.

$$Z_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if ill,} \\ 0 & \text{if healthy,} \end{cases} \text{ with } i = 1, \dots, n_j \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, M$$

Weight and See Antony Unwin UNC 13th November 2009



CONSCIENCE AND CONSCIENCE

Let m_{kj} denote the number of persons in group j with health k, i.e.

$$m_{kj} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left(Z_{ij} = k \right)$$

then the dataset can be written in the form

$$(k, j, m_{kj})$$
 where $k \in \{0, 1\}$ and $j = 1, \dots, M$.

The values m_{kj} are then frequency weights:

$$w_{kj}^{FW} = m_{kj} \quad \text{with} \quad m_{0j} + m_{1j} = n_j$$

UNC 13th November 2009

Weight and See

Antony Unwin

Probability weighting (PW)



- When not all cases have the same probability of being sampled
 - stratified sampling
 - cluster sampling
 - adjusting for non-response
- Weighting is the inverse of the probability of being sampled

Weight and See

Antony Unwin

Importance weighting (IW)



UNC 13th November 2009

- Cases have different importance
 - companies weighted by turnover or market capitalisation
 - market share changes weighted by shares
 - regional morbidity rates weighted by population
 - medical side-effect rates weighted by seriousness of effect

PW example



In the illustrative example, the dataset $\{Z_{ij}\}$ with $i = 1, ..., n_j$ and j = 1, ..., M has n entries and probability weights that are inversely proportional to their sampling probability:

$$w_{ij}^{PW} \propto \frac{1}{n_j/N_j} = \frac{N_j}{n_j}$$

If individual i has probability p_i of appearing in the sample, then his weight

 $w_i^{PW} \propto 1/p_i$

The weights may be normalised so that they sum to 1 or to an 'equivalent sample size' n.

Weight and See

Antony Unwin

UNC 13th November 2009

IW example

In the illustrative example, the dataset of illness rates

 $\left\{r_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} Z_{ij}}{n_j}\right\}$

has importance weights

$$w^{IW}(r_j) = N_j$$

In this case it is interesting to note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} w_{ij}^{PW} \propto w^{IW}(r_j)$$

Weight and See

Antony Unwin

UNC 13th November 2009

Analytic weighting (AW)



- Combining results from studies
 - e.g., Metanalysis
- Weighting is the inverse of the variance (precision) or of the standard deviation
- cf. Bayesian modelling

Weight and See

Antony Unwin UNC 13th November 2009

Properties of weights



- Additive
- Non-negative
- No missing values in the weighting variable
- Weights have to be interpretable

AW example



Consider again the dataset of illness rates

$$\left\{r_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} Z_{ij}}{n_j} \quad \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, M\right\}.$$

Assuming an underlying binomial model $Z_{.j} \sim B_{n_j,p_j}$, gives analytic weights that are inversely proportional to variances:

$$w_j^{AW}(r_j) \propto \frac{n_j}{r_j(1-r_j)}$$

(If $N_j >> n_j$ there is no need to correct for finite sampling.) Assuming $r_j \approx r$ for all j leads to

$$w_j^{AW} \propto n_j = w_j^{FW}$$

Antony Unwin

Weight and See

UNC 13th November 2009



ive

Weight and See

UNC 13th November 2009

Weighted area plots



- Barcharts and spineplots
- Histograms and spinograms
- Mosaicplots and their variants
 - multiple barcharts
 - fluctuation diagrams
 - same binsize
 - doubledecker

Weight and See

Antony Unwin UNC 13th November 2009

Graphics for metanalysis



- Study results should be weighted by precision
- Displaying CIs for results gives more space to less precise studies (forest plots)
- Funnel plots would benefit from including precision with weight represented by size





UNC 13th November 2009

Software



- Mondrian
 - all platforms
 - stats.math.uni-augsburg.de/Mondrian/

• MANET



- (only for Macintosh)
- stats.math.uni-augsburg.de/MANET/

Antony Unwin UNC 13th November 2009

Summary comments



- Weighted graphics should be considered more often
- There are different kinds of weighting with different interpretations
- Importance weighting offers most flexibility and requires most care
- Weighted plots provide additional insights

Weight and See

